

MEETINGS JULY 25, 2020

9 AM

Lot 62

In attendance: President: Wes Roberts, Treasurer: Karen Lundstrom, Grounds Director: Tom Henry, Secretary: Denise Talkington (arrived late), Ken Jones, Barb Vidler & Rick McKay

Meeting being held to answer questions sent in via email to the Executive:

- Clarified the wait list and showed documentation to support this. There was an error at one point when editing the document. Ken Jones was there to clarify this issue. Executive agreed that placing the wait list as well a dock mooring map to the website can be arranged and will be worked on by the Executive. This way the membership has transparency and we hope will be less work for the Wharf Director.
- Clarified that the wharf director is the only person who can assign temporary boat mooring. The reason he did not move lot 62 (as they are on the outside wait list) to an outside temporary spot is because they have a permanent finger assigned on the inside. The Wharf Director explained that there is a trickle effect by assigning a temporary spot. If the owner of the spot decides to bring up his boat, the temporary person will then need to move, in turn the person who the Wharf Director has assigned to their spot will have to move....creates a lot more work. So, this is the reason he decided it easier for them to stay in their assigned inside finger for mooring. But since they have specifically requested to be moved into a temporary spot for the outside; he assigned Lot 87 spot. They requested Lot 63 spot instead, Carl gave them permission to contact Lot 63 themselves and advise him if they find that acceptable.
- Complaint against Lot 21 as he and Lot 13 swapped fingers without going through the Wharf Director. They have been contacted by the Executive back in June/July 2018 and have not yet complied to the Wharf Directors wish to adhere to the rules and use their own fingers. Executive agreed to send them a letter regarding this matter.
- Mentioned the boat 'buy in' fee and asked how some members had the fee waived by wharf directors in the past. It was said that it was a grey area at one point and that the wharf directors probably felt they were doing the correct thing, but we cannot go back to collect these funds now. It was cleared up at the 2019 AGM that when a membership transfers (even to a family member) that they will need to pay the 'buy in' fee and will loose their finger and either be reassigned a new one if available or go on the wait list.
- Measured Lot 28's new boat, as it's length was questioned for meeting regulations. It measured 20ft and complies with the outside, over-size length.

10 AM

Lot 14

In attendance: All executive (as stated above), John & Maria Elzinga and Tony & Eileen Mettes.

Meeting was scheduled to clear up emails sent in by both parties regarding trailer positioning.

- Tony & Eileen wish to have a new document on file ensuring both lots have the approval of the Executive for the trailer placements on their lots.
- John Elzinga stated that he believes that they are conforming anyway; see rule:

TWO feet (.60m) to a side boundary, with exception to slide outs. All RV's shall maintain a minimum clearance of FOUR feet (1.2m) from slide outs to a neighbouring RV.

Both are 2 ft from their side boundary and 4ft from slide out to neighbouring RV's trailer body. Both emergency exits are clear for exiting and both are happy with the positioning. Executive agreed that a letter on file can be accommodated. Executive also agreed that the set back rules need to be looked at and clarification is needed for trailers that end up positioned side by side.

We will look into insurance legalities regarding set-backs and the document should ensure we comply with these for the safety of all park members.

10:30 AM

Lot 37

In attendance: Whole Executive (as stated above) and Phil Chase.

Meeting was scheduled to clear up email sent in to Executive.

- Measured the left side of lot 37. It's lot dimension for the left side state 53 ft, but actually measures longer. He stated that the lots are to be measured from the cement retaining wall at the beach toward the road, which would in turn mean that they park on the road. Based on evidence from old posts, sufficient road space (23 feet) and the old map of the park shows both lots (28,29) further into the road then the ones further down. As well, the lot dimensions sheet show lots larger in length starting at lot 28 going into smaller dimensions towards lot 37.

At the time the Executive agreed that posts are not on the road way and are (in our opinion) where the lots start. Posts are removable if necessary. As well the Executive all agreed that in fact you should measure from the road toward the beach. Many of the lots are longer in dimensions but would mean the extra space they have is infringed on beach/creek land and is out of the Executive's hand. Since this meeting Grounds Director has declared that he does not agree with these statements. Phil stated that by measuring this way we extended the lot dimensions to lots 28 & 29, which we denied and confirmed to him that we have not changed any members lot sizes.

- A question was brought up the mentioning measuring from the centre of the road (7ft) to find the beginning of a lot dimensions. We clarified that the Executive has done no such thing and that many road widths vary in the park. The 14ft is a minimum allowance for emergency vehicles.
- A complaint was made against allowing posts along the road (front) of members lots. If you measure the way Phil believes is correct then he sees these posts are on the road and that they

should not be there as it is an interference to the roadway and allots for the memberships to have bigger dimensions. As mentioned earlier, the Executive does not agree with how Phil states lots should be measured. We did agree that it could cause concern in tighter areas of the park, but it is a members right to be allowed posts or fencing around their lot.